

A COMPLETE LIFT FOR SEMISPRAYS

IOAN BUCATARU AND MATIAS F. DAHL

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we define a complete lift for semisprays. If S is a semispray on a manifold M , its complete lift is a new semispray S^c on TM . The motivation for this lift is two-fold: First, geodesics for S^c correspond to the Jacobi fields for S , and second, this complete lift generalizes and unifies previously known complete lifts for Riemannian metrics, affine connections, and regular Lagrangians. When S is a spray, we prove that the projective geometry of S^c uniquely determines S . We also study how symmetries and constants of motions for S lift into symmetries and constants of motions for S^c .

2000 MSC: 53C22, 58E10, 70H35, 70G45.

Keywords: vertical lift, complete lift, semispray, geodesic, Jacobi field.

1. INTRODUCTION

Vertical and complete lifts for geometric objects from the base manifold to its tangent bundle have been studied in various contexts by many researchers. These lifts have been used to study various aspects of differential geometry and its applications: Jacobi fields in [38], stochastic Jacobi fields in [4], harmonicity in [31], symplectic geometry in [34], symmetries and constants of motion in [15]. These lifts were introduced by Yano and Kobayashi in [39], and for a detailed presentation we refer to the book of Yano and Ishihara [38].

It is known that for a Riemannian metric on a manifold M its complete lift is a semi-Riemannian metric on TM , whose geodesics are Jacobi fields on M , [38]. This result has been extended by Casciaro and Francaviglia in [13], Núñez-Yépez and Salas-Brito in [30] and Delgado et al. in [17]. In these papers, the authors show that if a system of second order differential equations (SODE) can be written as a variational problem, then the corresponding system of Jacobi equations can also be written as a variational problem. For the homogeneous case, Michor [28] showed that one can lift a spray into a vector field whose integral curves project to the Jacobi fields of the given spray. This lifted vector field, which is not a spray, was also studied by Lewis in [26] and called the tangent lift. For the affine case, Lewis has also shown how to modify this lift to preserve sprays, [26].

The main goal of this paper is to generalize and unify the above lifts into a complete lift for semisprays. Therefore, we will start with a semispray S , whose geodesics are determined by a system of SODE, define its complete lift, S^c , and study its geodesics. In Theorem 4.4 we prove that the complete lift S^c of a semispray S is again a semispray and the geodesics of S^c are Jacobi fields of S . In other words, we show that the system of geodesic equations of a semispray and the corresponding system of Jacobi equations are related by the complete lift. In Section 4.3 we

show how this complete lift contains as special cases the complete lift for a semi-Riemannian metric [39], an affine connection [26, 39], and a regular Lagrangian [13, 17, 30]. In Theorem 4.4 we also prove that any Jacobi field on a compact interval can be obtained from a geodesic variation. This result is well known in the Riemann-Finsler context, [5, 11, 35], where the proof is based on the exponential map. For the general case of a semispray, the exponential map cannot be defined, due to the lack of homogeneity. We prove the correspondence between Jacobi fields and geodesic variations of a semispray by using the geodesic flow of S^c , that is by studying integral curves of S^c in the second order iterated tangent bundle TTM .

The complete and vertical lifts for geometric objects from a manifold M to its tangent bundle TM are well known. However, to lift a semispray we need to lift objects from TM to the second iterated tangent bundle TTM . Since TTM has two vector bundle structures over TM , there are two ways to define such lifts. The lifting process in this paper has the important feature that it preserves important geometric objects; semi-Riemannian metrics, regular Lagrangians, homogeneous functions and vector fields, semisprays and sprays are all preserved. To avoid studying separately the vertical and complete lifts from M to TM , from TM to TTM , and so on, we introduce in Section 3 a unifying lifting process from $T^r M$ to $T^{r+1} M$, for any $r \geq 0$. For $r = 0$, this reduces to the vertical and complete lifts for geometric objects from M to TM , as defined by Yano and Kobayashi [39].

For a spray, the geodesics, up to orientation preserving reparameterizations, uniquely determine the projective class of a spray but not the spray itself, [16, 18, 27, 36]. For example, in a subset of the plane, the Euclidean, Funk and Hilbert metrics all have straight lines as geodesics, but with possibly different parameterizations, [36]. The main result of Section 5 is Theorem 5.6. It shows that the projective class of S^c uniquely determines S . That is, if sprays S_1 and S_2 have the same Jacobi fields, up to orientation preserving reparameterizations, then $S_1 = S_2$.

In the last section of the paper we use the complete lift to study symmetries and constants of motion of the system of Jacobi equations in terms of the symmetries and constants of motion of the geodesic equations of a given semispray. We prove that the vertical and complete lifts for a constant of motion (or symmetry) of a system of geodesics equations are constants of motion (or symmetries) for the corresponding system of Jacobi equations. This way, we generalize known results for Jacobi fields from Riemannian geometry, [11]. In the Lagrangian context, it follows that the constant of motion considered by Núñez-Yépez and Salas-Brito in [30] for the system of Jacobi equations is the complete lift of the Lagrangian function. Also, the symmetries considered by Arizmendi et al. in [3] for the system of Jacobi equations can be obtained by taking the complete lift of symmetries of Euler-Lagrange equations. In the Hamiltonian context, constants of motions for the variational equations of a given Hamiltonian system have been studied by Case in [10] and Giachetta et al. in [20].

2. HIGHER ORDER ITERATED TANGENT BUNDLE

We start with a real, C^∞ -smooth, n -dimensional manifold M . By (TM, π_0, M) we denote its first order tangent bundle. Every coordinate chart $(U, (x^i))$ on M induces a coordinate chart $(\pi_0^{-1}(U), (x^i, y^i))$ on TM . For $r \geq 1$, we will denote by $(T^r M, \pi_{r-1}, T^{r-1} M)$ the r th order iterated tangent bundle. Let us also denote

$T^0M = M$. For $r \in \{2, 3\}$, the induced local coordinates on TTM and $TTTM$ will be denoted by (x, y, X, Y) and (x, y, X, Y, u, v, U, V) , respectively.

For a manifold N , we denote by $C^\infty(N)$ the set of smooth real functions on N , and by $\mathfrak{X}(N)$ the set of smooth vector fields on N . Throughout the paper, all objects will be assumed to be smooth.

For $r \geq 1$, the slashed tangent bundles $T^rM \setminus \{0\}$ are open subsets in T^rM defined as follows. For $r = 1$,

$$TM \setminus \{0\} = \{(x, y) \in TM, y \neq 0\},$$

and for $r \geq 2$,

$$T^rM \setminus \{0\} = \{\xi \in T^rM, D\pi_{T^{r-1}M \rightarrow M}(\xi) \in TM \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

It follows that the slashed tangent bundles are preserved by the tangent map of the canonical submersions. That is, for $r \geq 2$, $D\pi_{r-2}(T^rM \setminus \{0\}) = T^{r-1}M \setminus \{0\}$. For $r = 2$ we have explicitly

$$TTM \setminus \{0\} = \{(x, y, X, Y) \in TTM, X \neq 0\},$$

and $D\pi_0(TTM \setminus \{0\}) = TM \setminus \{0\}$.

For $r \geq 2$, $k \geq 1$, and a map $c : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)^k \rightarrow T^rM$, $(t^1, \dots, t^k) \mapsto c(t^1, \dots, t^k) = (x^i(t^1, \dots, t^k))$, we define the *derivative* of c with respect to the variable t^j as the map $\partial_{t^j}c : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)^k \rightarrow T^{r+1}M$ defined by $\partial_{t^j}c = (x^i, \partial x^i / \partial t^j)$. When $k = 1$ we also write $c' = \partial_t c$.

For $r \geq 0$, a curve $c : I \rightarrow T^rM$ is said to be a *regular curve* if $c'(t) \in T^{r+1}M \setminus \{0\}$ for all t in I , where $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an open interval. For a regular curve $c : I \rightarrow T^rM$, we have that $c' : I \rightarrow T^{r+1}M$ (for $r \geq 0$) and $\pi_{r-1} \circ c : I \rightarrow T^{r-1}M$ (for $r \geq 1$) are also regular curves.

For $r \geq 2$, the r th iterated tangent bundle possesses at least two different vector bundle structures over $T^{r-1}M$. One is given by the canonical projection $\pi_{r-1} : T^rM \rightarrow T^{r-1}M$ and another one is given by the projection $D\pi_{r-2} : T^rM \rightarrow T^{r-1}M$. The involution map κ_r , interchanges these two vector bundle structures of T^rM .

Definition 2.1. For $r \geq 2$, the *involution map* κ_r , is the unique diffeomorphism $\kappa_r : T^rM \rightarrow T^rM$ that satisfies $\partial_t \partial_s c(t, s) = \kappa_r \partial_s \partial_t c(t, s)$, for any map $c : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)^2 \rightarrow T^{r-2}M$. For $r = 1$, we define $\kappa_1 = \text{id}_{TM}$.

It follows immediately that κ_r is an involution, which means that $\kappa_r^2 = \text{id}_{T^rM}$. Moreover, $\kappa_r : (T^rM, \pi_{r-1}, T^{r-1}M) \rightarrow (T^rM, D\pi_{r-2}, T^{r-1}M)$ is an isomorphism of vector bundles. Hence, the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^rM & \xleftrightarrow{\kappa_r} & T^rM \\ \pi_{r-1} \searrow & & \swarrow D\pi_{r-2} \\ & T^{r-1}M & \end{array}$$

In local coordinates, involution maps $\kappa_2 : TTM \rightarrow TTM$ and $\kappa_3 : TTTM \rightarrow TTTM$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_2(x, y, X, Y) &= (x, X, y, Y), \\ \kappa_3(x, y, X, Y, u, v, U, V) &= (x, y, u, v, X, Y, U, V). \end{aligned}$$

For $r \geq 2$, we have the identities

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \pi_r \circ D\kappa_r &= \kappa_r \circ \pi_r, \\ (2) \quad D\pi_{r-2} &= \pi_{r-1} \circ \kappa_r, \\ (3) \quad DD\pi_{r-2} \circ \kappa_{r+1} &= \kappa_r \circ DD\pi_{r-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Further properties of involution maps κ_2 , κ_3 , and so on, are presented in [7]. For a discussion about κ_2 and the two vector bundle structures of TTM see [6, 22, 29]. The notion of a double vector bundle structure was introduced by Pradines in [33]. In [21], Konieczna and Urbański have shown that the framework of double vector bundles is suitable for concepts such as vertical and complete lifts, linear connections, and Poisson and symplectic structures.

3. VERTICAL AND COMPLETE LIFTS

The vertical and complete lifts for geometric objects from a base manifold to its tangent bundle has been introduced by Yano and Kobayashi in [39] and studied by numerous geometers in various settings, see [4, 31, 32, 34, 38]. The lifts from $T^r M$ to $T^{r+1}M$, studied in this paper, are motivated by the following aspects. For $r = 0$, we recover the usual vertical and complete lifts, [39]. For $r = 1$, the complete lift coincides with the complete lift for a geodesic spray, introduced by Lewis [26]. For $r \geq 1$, the complete lift preserves important geometric objects: regular Lagrangians, homogeneous functions and vector fields, semisprays and sprays.

3.1. Vertical and complete lifts for functions.

Definition 3.1. Let $f \in C^\infty(T^r M)$, for some $r \geq 0$. Then, the *vertical lift* of f is the function $f^v \in C^\infty(T^{r+1}M)$, defined by

$$(4) \quad f^v(\xi) = (f \circ \pi_r \circ \kappa_{r+1})(\xi), \quad \forall \xi \in T^{r+1}M,$$

and the *complete lift* of f is the function $f^c \in C^\infty(T^{r+1}M)$, defined by

$$(5) \quad f^c(\xi) = df(\kappa_{r+1}(\xi)), \quad \forall \xi \in T^{r+1}M.$$

For $r = 0$, formulae (4) and (5) coincide with the usual vertical and complete lift of a function $f \in C^\infty(M)$, [39].

For $r \geq 1$, identity (2), implies that the vertical lift of a function $f \in C^\infty(T^r M)$ can be expressed as follows: $f^v = f \circ D\pi_{r-1}$.

For $r = 1$, we have that for $f \in C^\infty(TM)$, the vertical and complete lifts $f^v, f^c \in C^\infty(TTM)$ have the following expressions in local coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad f^v(x, y, X, Y) &= f(x, X), \\ (7) \quad f^c(x, y, X, Y) &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i}(x, X)y^i + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y^i}(x, X)Y^i. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.2. The vertical and complete lifts also generalize to functions defined on a slashed tangent bundle. If $f \in C^\infty(T^r M \setminus \{0\})$, for some $r \geq 1$, then equations (4) and (5) define lifts f^v, f^c and one can prove that $f^v, f^c \in C^\infty(T^{r+1}M \setminus \{0\})$.

3.2. Complete lift for Lagrangians. We show now that the complete lift for functions preserves regular Lagrangians and contains as a special case the complete lift for semi-Riemannian metrics introduced by Yano and Kobayashi [39]. Consider $L \in C^\infty(TM)$ a regular Lagrangian, which means that the Hessian of L ,

$$(8) \quad g_{ij}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial y^i \partial y^j}(x, y),$$

with respect to the fibre coordinates y , has maximal rank n on TM , [1, 24]. According to equation (7), the complete lift for a regular Lagrangian L is given by the following formula

$$(9) \quad L^c(x, y, X, Y) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^i}(x, X)y^i + \frac{\partial L}{\partial y^i}(x, X)Y^i.$$

It follows that the Hessian of $L^c \in C^\infty(TTM)$, with respect to the fibre coordinates X, Y is given by the following matrix

$$(10) \quad \begin{pmatrix} (g_{ij})^c & (g_{ij})^v \\ (g_{ij})^v & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which has rank $2n$. Therefore, L^c is a regular Lagrangian. From its local expression (9) it follows that L^c coincides with the *first-order deformed Lagrangian* $\mathcal{L}_1$ introduced and studied by Casciaro et al. in [12] and Casciaro and Francaviglia in [13]. Also the complete lift L^c coincides with the Lagrangian γ considered by Núñez-Yépez and Salas-Brito in [30] and Delgado et al. in [17].

Consider now the particular case, when the regular Lagrangian $L_g(x, y) = g_x(y, y)$ is induced by a semi-Riemannian metric g . By formula (9) we have

$$(11) \quad (L_g)^c(x, y, X, Y) = \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^k} y^k X^i X^j + 2g_{ij}(x) X^i Y^j = g_{(x, y)}^c((X, Y), (X, Y)).$$

For the last equality in formula (11) we used the components of the complete lift g^c of a semi-Riemannian metric $g = (g_{ij})$, defined by Yano and Kobayashi [39]

$$(12) \quad g^c = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^k} y^k & g_{ij} \\ g_{ij} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Formula (11) can be written as follows:

$$(13) \quad (L_g)^c = L_{g^c},$$

and expresses the compatibility between the complete lift $(L_g)^c$, of the regular Lagrangian L_g and the complete lift g^c of the semi-Riemannian metric g .

3.3. Vertical and complete lifts for vector fields.

Definition 3.3. For $r \geq 0$, the *vertical lift* of a vector field $A \in \mathfrak{X}(T^r M)$ is the vector field $A^v \in \mathfrak{X}(T^{r+1} M)$, defined by

$$(14) \quad A^v(\xi) = D\kappa_{r+1} \circ \partial_s (\kappa_{r+1}(\xi) + sA \circ \pi_r \circ \kappa_{r+1}(\xi))|_{s=0}, \quad \xi \in T^{r+1} M.$$

Identity (1) shows that $\pi_{r+1} \circ A^v = \text{id}_{T^{r+1} M}$, so A^v is a vector field.

For $r = 0$, Definition 3.3 reduces to the usual definition of the vertical lift [1, 26, 39].

For $r = 1$ and a vector field $A \in \mathfrak{X}(TM)$, with local expression

$$(15) \quad A = (x, y, A^i, B^i) = A^i(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + B^i(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i},$$

its vertical lift $A^v \in \mathfrak{X}(TTM)$ is given by

$$(16) \quad A^v = (x, y, X, Y, 0, (A^i)^v, 0, (B^i)^v) = (A^i)^v \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} + (B^i)^v \frac{\partial}{\partial Y^i}.$$

Definition 3.4. For $r \geq 0$, the *complete lift* of a vector field $A \in \mathfrak{X}(T^r M)$ is the vector field $A^c \in \mathfrak{X}(T^{r+1} M)$, defined by

$$(17) \quad A^c = D\kappa_{r+1} \circ \kappa_{r+2} \circ DA \circ \kappa_{r+1}.$$

Identities (1) and (2) show that $\pi_{r+1} \circ A^c = \text{id}_{T^{r+1} M}$, so A^c is a vector field.

For $r = 0$, formula (17) coincides with the usual complete lift for a vector field $A \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, [39].

For $r = 1$, formula (17) was also considered by Lewis in [26] to lift an affine spray from TM to TTM . For $r = 1$ and the vector field $A \in \mathfrak{X}(TM)$, locally given by formula (15), its complete lift $A^c \in \mathfrak{X}(TTM)$ is given by

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} A^c &= (x, y, X, Y, (A^i)^v, (A^i)^c, (B^i)^v, (B^i)^c) \\ &= (A^i)^v \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + (A^i)^c \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} + (B^i)^v \frac{\partial}{\partial X^i} + (B^i)^c \frac{\partial}{\partial Y^i}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.5. The vertical and complete lifts also generalize to vector fields defined on a slashed tangent bundle. If $A \in \mathfrak{X}(T^r M \setminus \{0\})$, for some $r \geq 1$, then equations (14) and (17) define lifts A^v, A^c and one can prove that $A^v, A^c \in \mathfrak{X}(T^{r+1} M \setminus \{0\})$.

The vertical and complete lifts defined in this section have similar properties as the corresponding lifts studied by Yano and Ishihara in [38], for $r = 0$. For $r \geq 0$, $f, g \in C^\infty(T^r M)$, and $A, B \in \mathfrak{X}(T^r M)$ we have the following formulae:

$$(19) \quad (fg)^v = f^v g^v, \quad (fg)^c = f^v g^c + f^c g^v;$$

$$(20) \quad [A^c, B^c] = [A, B]^c, \quad [A^c, B^v] = [A, B]^v, \quad [A^v, B^v] = 0;$$

$$(21) \quad (fA)^c = f^c A^v + f^v A^c, \quad (fA)^v = f^v A^v;$$

$$(22) \quad (Af)^c = A^c f^c, \quad (Af)^v = A^c f^v = A^v f^c, \quad A^v f^v = 0.$$

The vertical and complete lifts introduced in this sections can be extended to one-forms and tensors on $T^r M$, by requiring that the lifts are compatible with the tensor contraction. These lifts generalize the case $r = 0$, studied by Yano and Ishihara in [38].

3.4. Lifts for vector fields and their flows. For $r \geq 0$ and a vector field $A \in \mathfrak{X}(T^r M)$, consider its flow $\phi : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow T^r M$, where $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is the maximal domain. Then $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is an open set in $T^r M \times \mathbb{R}$, [1]. For $\xi \in T^r M$, let $\phi_\xi : I(\xi) \rightarrow T^r M$ be the integral curve of A such that $\phi_\xi(0) = \xi$ and domain $I(\xi) \subset \mathbb{R}$ is maximal.

Theorem 3.6. For $r \geq 0$, consider a vector field $A \in \mathfrak{X}(T^r M)$ and its complete lift $A^c \in \mathfrak{X}(T^{r+1} M)$. Suppose that

$$\phi : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow T^r M, \quad \phi^c : \mathcal{D}(A^c) \rightarrow T^{r+1} M,$$

are the flows of A and A^c , respectively, with domains

$$\mathcal{D}(A) \subset T^r M \times \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathcal{D}(A^c) \subset T^{r+1} M \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Then,

$$(23) \quad (\pi_r \circ \kappa_{r+1} \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}}) \mathcal{D}(A^c) = \mathcal{D}(A)$$

and

$$(24) \quad \phi_t^c(\xi) = \kappa_{r+1} \circ D\phi_t \circ \kappa_{r+1}(\xi), \quad (\xi, t) \in \mathcal{D}(A^c),$$

where $D\phi_t$ is the tangent map of the map $\xi \mapsto \phi_t(\xi)$, for a fixed t .

Proof. To prove inclusion “ $\subset$ ” in (23) we start with an integral curve of A^c and show that it projects into an integral curve of A . If $(\xi_0, t_0) \in \mathcal{D}(A^c)$, then $\phi_{\xi_0}^c : I(\xi_0) \rightarrow T^{r+1}M$ is an integral curve of vector A^c . Using formula (17), identity (2) and the commutation rule $\pi_{r+1} \circ DA = A \circ \pi_r$ we obtain

$$D(\pi_r \circ \kappa_{r+1}) \circ A^c = A \circ (\pi_r \circ \kappa_{r+1}).$$

It follows that $\pi_r \circ \kappa_{r+1} \circ \phi_{\xi_0}^c$ is an integral curve of A defined on $I(\xi_0)$. Therefore $(\pi_r \circ \kappa_{r+1}(\xi_0), t_0) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ and inclusion “ $\subset$ ” in (23) follows.

To prove inclusion “ $\supset$ ” in (23) and formula (24) we start with an integral curve of A and show that it can be lifted into an integral curve of A^c . Let $(\pi_r \circ \kappa_{r+1}(\xi_0), t_0) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$, for some $\xi_0 \in T^{r+1}M$. Then $\phi_{\pi_r \circ \kappa_{r+1}(\xi_0)} : I(\pi_r \circ \kappa_{r+1}(\xi_0)) \rightarrow T^rM$ is an integral curve of vector field A . For each $t \in I(\pi_r \circ \kappa_{r+1}(\xi_0))$, consider

$$(25) \quad \gamma(t) = \kappa_{r+1} \circ D\phi_t \circ \kappa_{r+1}(\xi_0).$$

We will prove now that γ is well defined and smooth on $I(\pi_r \circ \kappa_{r+1}(\xi_0))$, $\gamma(0) = \xi_0$, and that γ is an integral curve of A^c .

Since $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is open in $T^rM \times \mathbb{R}$, for any $(\pi_r \circ \kappa_{r+1}(\xi_0), t) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ there exists a neighborhood $U \subset T^rM$ of $\pi_r \circ \kappa_{r+1}(\xi_0)$ and a neighborhood $I \subset I(\pi_r \circ \kappa_{r+1}(\xi_0)) \subset \mathbb{R}$ of t such that $U \times I \subset \mathcal{D}(A)$. For vector $\kappa_{r+1}(\xi_0) \in T_{\pi_r \circ \kappa_{r+1}(\xi_0)}U$, we can find a smooth curve $v : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow U$ such that $v(0) = \pi_r \circ \kappa_{r+1}(\xi_0)$ and $\kappa_{r+1}(\xi_0) = \partial_s v(0)$. It follows that $\phi(v(s), \tau)$ is well defined and smooth on the open neighborhood $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times I$ of $\{0\} \times \{t\}$. Therefore, for all t in $I(\pi_r \circ \kappa_{r+1}(\xi_0))$

$$(26) \quad \gamma(t) = \kappa_{r+1} \circ \partial_s \phi(v(s), \tau)|_{s=0, \tau=t},$$

and hence curve γ is well defined and smooth on $I(\pi_r \circ \kappa_{r+1}(\xi_0))$. Setting $t = 0$ in equation (25), and using $\phi_0 = \text{id}_{T^rM}$ we have that $\gamma(0) = \xi_0$. By formula (26) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (A^c \circ \gamma)(t) &= D\kappa_{r+1} \circ \kappa_{r+2} \circ DA \circ D\phi_t \circ \kappa_{r+1}(\xi) \\ &= D\kappa_{r+1} \circ \kappa_{r+2} \circ \partial_s (A \circ \phi(v(s), \tau))|_{s=0, \tau=t} \\ &= D\kappa_{r+1} \circ \kappa_{r+2} \circ \partial_s \partial_\tau \phi(v(s), \tau)|_{s=0, \tau=t} \\ &= D\kappa_{r+1} \circ \partial_\tau \partial_s \phi(v(s), \tau)|_{s=0, \tau=t} \\ &= D\kappa_{r+1} \circ \partial_\tau (\phi_\tau \circ \kappa_{r+1}(\xi_0))|_{\tau=t} \\ &= D\kappa_{r+1} \circ \partial_\tau (\kappa_{r+1} \circ \gamma)(\tau)|_{\tau=t} \\ &= \gamma'(t). \end{aligned}$$

In the above calculations we used that $A \circ \phi_\tau = \partial_\tau \phi_\tau$ and the definitions of κ_{r+2} and γ . The last equality follows since $D\kappa_{r+1} \circ \partial_\tau \gamma = \partial_\tau \kappa_{r+1}(\gamma)$.

We have shown that γ is an integral curve of A^c defined on $I(\pi_r \circ \kappa_{r+1}(\xi_0))$, such that $\gamma(0) = \xi_0$. Therefore, $(\xi_0, t_0) \in \mathcal{D}(A^c)$, which implies that $(\pi_r \circ \kappa_{r+1}(\xi_0), t_0) \in (\pi_r \circ \kappa_{r+1} \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}}) \mathcal{D}(A^c)$ and inclusion “ $\supset$ ” in (23) is true. It also follows that formula (24) is true. $\square$

From formula (23) we have that $\mathcal{D}(A^c) = T^{r+1}M \times \mathbb{R}$ if and only if $\mathcal{D}(A) = T^rM \times \mathbb{R}$. That is, vector field $A \in \mathfrak{X}(T^rM)$ is complete if and only if $A^c \in \mathfrak{X}(T^{r+1}M)$ is complete.

4. SEMISPRAYS AND THEIR COMPLETE LIFT

The geometry of a system of second order differential equations have been initiated in the first half of the last century through the work of Douglas [18], Cartan [9], Chern [14], Kosambi [23], and others. Such a system of SODE on M can be represented using a semispray, which is a special vector field on the phase space TM . For a semispray, its geodesics are defined as projections onto M of its integral curves in TM . In this section we prove that the complete lift for a semispray S on M is a semispray S^c on TM , and show how geodesics of S^c are related to Jacobi fields of S .

4.1. Semisprays and their geodesics.

Definition 4.1. For $r \geq 1$, a *semispray* S on $T^{r-1}M$ is a vector field $S \in \mathfrak{X}(T^rM \setminus \{0\})$ such that $\kappa_{r+1} \circ S = S$.

From the definition, we see that a semispray on $T^{r-1}M$ is a section for both bundle structures over T^rM . This can equivalently be written as follows: a vector field $S \in \mathfrak{X}(T^rM \setminus \{0\})$ is a semispray on $T^{r-1}M$ if and only if $D\pi_{r-1} \circ S = \text{id}_{T^rM \setminus \{0\}}$.

For $r = 1$, Definition 4.1 reduces to the usual definition of a semispray, [8]. In local coordinates (x, y) , a semispray S on M has the form

$$\begin{aligned} S &= (x^i, y^i, y^i, -2G^i(x, y)) \\ (27) \quad &= y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - 2G^i(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, \end{aligned}$$

for some functions G^i defined on the domain of the considered induced chart on $TM \setminus \{0\}$.

In local coordinates (x, y, X, Y) , a semispray S on TM has the form

$$\begin{aligned} S &= (x^i, y^i, X^i, Y^i, X^i, Y^i, -2G^i(x, y, X, Y), -2H^i(x, y, X, Y)) \\ (28) \quad &= X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + Y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} - 2G^i(x, y, X, Y) \frac{\partial}{\partial X^i} - 2H^i(x, y, X, Y) \frac{\partial}{\partial Y^i}, \end{aligned}$$

for some functions G^i, H^i defined on the domain of the considered induced chart on $TTM \setminus \{0\}$.

Definition 4.2. For $r \geq 1$, a *geodesic* for a semispray S on $T^{r-1}M$, is a regular curve $c: I \rightarrow T^{r-1}M$ such that $c'' = S(c')$, and $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is the maximal domain.

From formula (27) we see that a regular curve $c: I \rightarrow M$, $c(t) = (x^i(t))$, is a geodesic for a semispray S on M if and only if it locally satisfies the following system of second order ordinary differential equations:

$$(29) \quad \frac{d^2 x^i}{dt^2} + 2G^i\left(x, \frac{dx}{dt}\right) = 0.$$

We refer to equations (29) as to the *geodesic equations* of the semispray S , given by formula (27).

4.2. Jacobi fields and geodesic variations. Let $c : I \rightarrow M$ be a geodesic for a semispray S on M . A geodesic variation of c is a smooth map $V : I \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow M$, $V = V(t, s)$ such that

- 1) $V(t, 0) = c(t)$, for all t in I ,
- 2) $V(t, s)$ is a geodesic for all s in $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$.

The variation vector field $J(t) = \partial_s V(t, s)|_{s=0} = (x^i(t), y^i(t))$ satisfies the following system of second order ordinary differential equations:

$$(30) \quad \frac{d^2 y^i}{dt^2} + 2 \frac{\partial G^i}{\partial y^j} \left(x, \frac{dx}{dt} \right) \frac{dy^j}{dt} + 2 \frac{\partial G^i}{\partial x^j} \left(x, \frac{dx}{dt} \right) y^j = 0.$$

We refer to equations (30) as to the *Jacobi equations* or the *variational equations* of the system of geodesic equations (29). Geodesic variations and the corresponding Jacobi equations (30) were considered by Kosambi in [23], Cartan in [9] and Chern in [14] for arbitrary systems of second order differential equations.

Definition 4.3. A vector field $J : I \rightarrow TM$, $J(t) = (x^i(t), y^i(t))$, along a geodesic $c = \pi_0 \circ J$ of a semispray S on M , is called a *Jacobi field* if it satisfies the Jacobi equations (30).

Using the dynamical covariant derivative induced by S , one can express the geodesic equations (29) and the Jacobi equations (30) in an invariant way, [8, 25]. We will see also, from Theorem 4.4, that the system of geodesic equations and the system of Jacobi equations for a semispray, together, form the geodesic equations of the complete lift of the semispray. Hence, the systems of equations (29) and (30) do not depend on a particular choice of local coordinates.

For a geodesic c of a semispray S on M , its tangent vector $c'(t)$ is a Jacobi field along c that is locally determined by geodesic variation $V(t, s) = c(t + s)$.

We define the *complete lift*, S^c , of a semispray S as the complete lift of S as a vector field, and the *geodesic flow* of semispray S as the flow of S as a vector field. The domain $\mathcal{D}(S)$ of the geodesic flow of S is open in $TM \setminus \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}$ and the domain $\mathcal{D}(S^c)$ of the geodesic flow of S^c is open in $TTM \setminus \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let S be a semispray on M .*

- i) *The complete lift S^c is a semispray on TM .*
- ii) *A curve $J : I \rightarrow TM$ is a geodesic for the semispray S^c if and only if J is a Jacobi field along $c = \pi_0 \circ J$.*
- iii) *The domains of the geodesic flows of the two semispays S and S^c are related by the formula*

$$(31) \quad (D\pi_0 \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}})\mathcal{D}(S^c) = \mathcal{D}(S).$$

- iv) *For any restriction of a Jacobi field J to a compact interval K , there is a geodesic variation $V : K \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow M$ of $c = \pi_0 \circ J$ such that $J(t) = \partial_s V(t, s)|_{s=0}$, for all $t \in K$.*

Proof. i) According to Remark 3.5, formula (17) can be used also to lift vector fields defined on slashed tangent bundles. Therefore, for $r = 1$, we have that the complete lift of S is defined as follows:

$$(32) \quad S^c = D\kappa_2 \circ \kappa_3 \circ DS \circ \kappa_2.$$

If S is given in local coordinates by formula (27), then using formula (18), its complete lift is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S^c &= (x^i, y^i, X^i, Y^i, X^i, Y^i, -2(G^i)^v, -2(G^i)^c) \\ (33) \quad &= X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + Y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} - 2(G^i)^v \frac{\partial}{\partial X^i} - 2(G^i)^c \frac{\partial}{\partial Y^i}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\kappa_3 \circ S^c = S^c$ and hence S^c is a semispray on TM .

ii) A curve $J: I \rightarrow TM$, $J(t) = (x^i(t), y^i(t))$ is a geodesic for S^c if and only if

$$(34) \quad \frac{d^2 x^i}{dt^2} = -2(G^i)^v \left(x, y, \frac{dx}{dt}, \frac{dy}{dt} \right);$$

$$(35) \quad \frac{d^2 y^i}{dt^2} = -2(G^i)^c \left(x, y, \frac{dx}{dt}, \frac{dy}{dt} \right).$$

By formulae (6) and (7) equations (34) and (35) are equivalent to geodesic equations (29) and Jacobi equations (30), respectively and ii) follows.

iii) Using similar arguments as we used in the proof of Theorem 3.6, it follows that the solution J of the Jacobi equations (30) with initial condition $J'(0) = \xi \in TTM \setminus \{0\}$ and the solution c of the geodesic equations (29) with the initial condition $c'(0) = D\pi_0(\xi) \in TM \setminus \{0\}$ are defined over the same open interval $I(\xi) = I(D\pi_0(\xi)) \subset \mathbb{R}$. Therefore we obtain that the domains of the flows for the two semisprays S and S^c are related by the formula (31).

iv) Consider $J: K \rightarrow TM$ a Jacobi field and let $c: K \rightarrow M$, $c = \pi_0 \circ J$ be the underlying geodesic, where $K \subset I$ is a compact interval and I is the maximal domain for J . Denote $\xi = J'(0) \in TTM \setminus \{0\}$.

Let ϕ be the geodesic flow of semispray S . If $\phi_{D\pi_0(\xi)}: K \rightarrow TM$ is the integral curve of S with $\phi_{D\pi_0(\xi)}(0) = D\pi_0(\xi)$ then $\pi_0 \circ \phi_{D\pi_0(\xi)} = c$. Since J' is an integral curve of S^c then, using a similar argument as we used in the proof of Theorem 3.6, we have that

$$J'(t) = \kappa_2 \circ D\phi_t \circ \kappa_2(\xi), \quad \forall t \in I.$$

Since $\mathcal{D}(S)$ is open in $TM \setminus \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\xi \in TTM \setminus \{0\}$ is fixed, for $(D\pi_0(\xi), t) \in \mathcal{D}(S)$ there exists $U_t \subset TM \setminus \{0\}$ an open neighborhood of $D\pi_0(\xi)$ and $I_t \subset \mathbb{R}$ an open interval that contains t such that $U_t \times I_t \subset \mathcal{D}(S)$. For the vector $\kappa_2(\xi) \in T_{D\pi_0(\xi)}U_t$, there exists a differentiable curve $w: (-\varepsilon_t, \varepsilon_t) \rightarrow U_t$ such that $w(0) = D\pi_0(\xi)$ and $\kappa_2(\xi) = \partial_s w(0)$.

Since K is compact, from the open covering $\{I_t, t \in K\}$, one can choose a finite covering $\{I_{t_j}, j \in \{1, \dots, N\}\}$ of it. Define $\varepsilon := \min\{\varepsilon_{t_j}, j \in \{1, \dots, N\}\}$ and $U := \bigcap_{j=1}^N U_{t_j}$. It follows that $\phi(w(s), t)$ is well defined for all $(t, s) \in K \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$. Consider the geodesic variation $V: K \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow TM \setminus \{0\}$, given by

$$(36) \quad V(t, s) = \pi_0 \circ \phi(w(s), t).$$

We have that $V(t, 0) = \pi_0 \circ \phi(D\pi_0(\xi), t) = c(t)$. Moreover, since $J(t) = \pi_1 \circ J'(t)$ is a geodesic of S^c , we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(t) &= \pi_1 \circ \kappa_2 \circ D\phi_t \circ \partial_s w(s)|_{s=0} \\ &= D\pi_0 \circ D\phi_t \circ \partial_s w(s)|_{s=0} \\ &= D\pi_0 \circ \partial_s \phi(w(s), t)|_{s=0} \\ &= \partial_s (\pi_0 \circ \phi(w(s), t))|_{s=0} \\ &= \partial_s V(t, s)|_{s=0}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $J(t)$ is the variation vector field of the geodesic variation $V(t, s)$ given by formula (36). $\square$

4.3. Discussion of results. We discuss now the results of Theorem 4.4 in various contexts: Riemannian, Finslerian, affine, and Lagrangian.

Last part of the Theorem 4.4 is usually proved in the Riemannian context, [11, 35], and the proof is based on the exponential map. In the Finslerian context, the result is discussed in the book [5]. Since for a semispray we do not require any homogeneity condition, their geodesics do not inherit a homogeneity condition that will allow us to define the exponential map. Therefore the standard proof of Theorem 4.4 iv) in Riemann-Finsler context does not generalize directly to semisprays. Instead, the above proof relies on the geodesic flow of S^c , that is by studying integral curves of S^c in the second order iterated tangent bundle TTM .

Consider g a semi-Riemannian metric on M and denote by S_g the geodesic spray induced by g , which is a semispray on M . Consider g^c , the complete lift of g , given by formula (12). Let S_{g^c} be the geodesic spray of the semi-Riemannian metric g^c , which is a semispray on TM . Then, it follows that

$$(37) \quad (S_g)^c = S_{g^c},$$

and therefore the complete lift for a semispray discussed in Theorem 4.4 contains as a special case, the complete lift for a semi-Riemannian metric introduced by Yano and Kobayashi in [39].

Consider an affine spray S_∇ , whose function coefficients are $G^i(x, y) = \gamma_{jk}^i(x)y^j y^k$, where $\gamma_{jk}^i(x)$ are the coefficients of an affine connection ∇ on M . Consider ∇^c , the complete lift of the affine connection ∇ , defined by $\nabla_{X^c}^c Y^c = (\nabla_X Y)^c$, [39]. Let S_{∇^c} be the affine spray induced by the affine connection ∇^c . Then, it can be shown that

$$(38) \quad (S_\nabla)^c = S_{\nabla^c},$$

and therefore the complete lift for a semispray discussed in Theorem 4.4 contains as a special case, the complete lift for an affine connection introduced by Yano and Kobayashi in [39]. Moreover, $(S_\nabla)^c$ coincides with $D\kappa_2 \circ S_\nabla^T \circ \kappa_2$ considered by Lewis in [26]. Lewis also has shown that the geodesics of the complete lift for an affine spray are Jacobi fields for the given spray.

Consider a regular Lagrangian L on TM , and let S_L be the corresponding Euler-Lagrange vector field, which is a semispray on M . Consider the complete lift L^c , given by equation (9), which is a regular Lagrangian on TTM and S_{L^c} the corresponding Euler-Lagrange vector field, which is a semispray on TM . Then, it can be shown that

$$(39) \quad (S_L)^c = S_{L^c},$$

and therefore the complete lift for a semispray discussed in Theorem 4.4 contains as a special case, the complete lift for a Lagrange function discussed in Section 3.2. Formula (39) shows that the Euler-Lagrange equations of L^c consist of the Euler-Lagrange equations of the Lagrangian L and their Jacobi equations. This result agrees with the results obtained by Casciaro and Francaviglia in [13], Delgado et al. in [17], and Núñez-Yépez and Salas-Brito in [30].

5. SPRAYS AND THEIR COMPLETE LIFTS

In this section we prove that the complete lift studied in the previous sections preserves Liouville vector fields, homogeneous functions and vector fields and sprays. Two sprays are projectively related if they have the same geodesics up to orientation preserving reparameterizations. The main result of this section is Theorem 5.6. It shows that geometric properties for affine, semi-Riemannian or Finsler sprays can be determined from studying the corresponding projective properties of the complete lift. The projective geometry of sprays was initiated by Douglas in [18]. For a modern presentation of projective connection we refer to [16], for projectively related sprays see Szilasi [37], and for the Finslerian case see Shen [36].

5.1. Sprays. The notion of spray, in the affine context, was introduced and studied by Ambrose et al. in [2]. A general spray, which does not reduce to an affine spray, has to be defined on the slashed tangent bundle, $T^r M \setminus \{0\}$.

The homogeneity of various objects on tangent bundles can be characterized, using Euler's theorem, in terms of the Liouville vector field.

For $r \geq 1$, the *Liouville vector field* $\mathbb{C}_r \in \mathfrak{X}(T^r M)$ is defined as follows:

$$\mathbb{C}_r(\xi) = \partial_s (\xi + s\xi)|_{s=0}, \quad \xi \in T^r M.$$

From its definition we can see that the Liouville vector field $\mathbb{C}_r$ is a globally defined vector field. In local coordinates we obtain the following formulae for the Liouville vector fields $\mathbb{C}_1$ and $\mathbb{C}_2$.

$$(40) \quad \mathbb{C}_1 = (x, y, 0, y) = y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i},$$

$$(41) \quad \mathbb{C}_2 = (x, y, X, Y, 0, 0, X, Y) = X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial X^i} + Y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial Y^i}.$$

Using the definition of the complete lift we find that the Liouville vector fields are preserved by the complete lifts, which means that

$$(42) \quad \mathbb{C}_r^c = \mathbb{C}_{r+1}, \quad r \geq 1.$$

Definition 5.1. For $r \geq 1$ and a non-negative integer s , we say that a function $f: T^r M \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *positively s -homogeneous* if for all $\xi \in T^r M \setminus \{0\}$,

$$f(\lambda\xi) = \lambda^s f(\xi), \quad \lambda > 0.$$

Throughout the paper, homogeneity refers to positive homogeneity only. For $r \geq 1$, Euler's theorem for homogeneous functions implies that a function $f \in C^\infty(T^r M \setminus \{0\})$ is s -homogeneous if and only if $\mathbb{C}_r(f) = sf$.

The homogeneity can be extended to other objects that live on $T^r M \setminus \{0\}$, see [8, Section 1.5] for $r = 1$. For $r \geq 1$, a vector field $A \in \mathfrak{X}(T^r M \setminus \{0\})$ is s -homogeneous if $[\mathbb{C}_r, A] = (s - 1)A$. For example, the Liouville vector field $\mathbb{C}_r$ is 1-homogeneous.

Next proposition will show that the complete and vertical lifts preserve the homogeneity for functions and vector fields.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $r \geq 1$.*

- i) *If function $f \in C^\infty(T^r M \setminus \{0\})$ is s -homogeneous, then its vertical and complete lifts $f^v, f^c \in C^\infty(T^{r+1} M \setminus \{0\})$ are s -homogeneous functions.*
- ii) *If vector field $A \in \mathfrak{X}(T^r M \setminus \{0\})$ is s -homogeneous, then its vertical and complete lifts $A^v, A^c \in \mathfrak{X}(T^{r+1} M \setminus \{0\})$ are s -homogeneous vector fields.*

Proof. If $f : T^r M \setminus \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is s -homogeneous, then equations (42) and (22) imply that $\mathbb{C}_{r+1}(f^c) = \mathbb{C}_r^c(f^c) = (\mathbb{C}_r(f))^c = s f^c$ and f^c is s -homogeneous. The other claims follow similarly. $\square$

Definition 5.3. For $r \geq 1$, a semispray S on $T^{r-1}M$ is a *spray* on $T^{r-1}M$ if it is 2-homogeneous, which means that $[\mathbb{C}_r, S] = S$.

For $r = 1$, a spray S on M is given by formula (27), where the spray coefficients $G^i(x, y)$ are 2-homogeneous functions on $TM \setminus \{0\}$. In this case, Definition 5.3 is equivalent to the usual definition of a spray, studied in various contexts, [2, 5, 8, 36, 37].

If for a spray S on M , its domain is the whole TM , instead of $TM \setminus \{0\}$, then the homogeneity condition implies that the functions $G^i(x, y)$ are quadratic in y . It follows that there exist functions $\gamma_{jk}^i(x)$ on the base manifold M such that $2G^i(x, y) = \gamma_{jk}^i(x)y^j y^k$. Functions $\gamma_{jk}^i(x)$ are local coefficients of an affine connection ∇ on the base manifold and the spray is said to be an *affine spray*, [2].

Now, we show that the complete lift preserves sprays.

Proposition 5.4. *If S is a spray on M , then its complete lift S^c is a spray on TM .*

Proof. It follows by Theorem 4.4 i) and Proposition 5.2 ii). $\square$

Since vertical and complete lifts preserve homogeneity, it follows that for a spray S on M , with spray coefficients G^i , the spray coefficients $(G^i)^v, (G^i)^c$, of the complete lift S^c are 2-homogeneous functions with respect to the fiber coordinates X^i, Y^i . One can use the homogeneity conditions to show that $tc'(t)$ is also a Jacobi field, and locally it is determined by the geodesic variation $V_2(t, s) = c(t + ts)$.

Let us point out that the complete lift in Definition 3.4 is closely related to other lifts. In [26], Lewis defined the *tangent lift* of an affine spray S on M as the vector field $S^T = \kappa_3 \circ DS$. This definition also coincides with the *canonical lift* of a vector field considered by Fisher and Laquer in [19]. In [28], Michor proved that if S is a spray, then integral curves of S^T project onto Jacobi fields of S . Here, S^T is a vector field, but it is not a spray. However, Lewis in [26], noticed that replacing S^T with $D\kappa_2 \circ S^T \circ \kappa_2$ one obtains a spray, provided that S is an (affine) spray. This modified lift coincides with S^c in Definition 3.4. Integral curves of vector field S^T and spray S^c are closely related. A curve $\gamma : I \rightarrow TTM$ is an integral curve of $S^T = \kappa_3 \circ DS$ if and only if $\kappa_2 \circ \gamma$ is an integral curve of S^c .

5.2. Projectively related sprays.

Definition 5.5. For $r \geq 1$, two sprays S_1 and S_2 on $T^{r-1}M$ are said to be *projectively related* if their geodesics coincide up to orientation preserving reparameterizations.

For $r = 1$, Definition 5.5 reduces to the usual definition of projectively related sprays on M , [36, 37]. Projectively related sprays have the same geodesics as point sets. For symmetric sprays, the converse is also true, see [16].

For $r \geq 1$, two sprays S_1 and S_2 on $T^{r-1}M$ are projectively related if and only if there exists a 1-homogeneous function $P : T^r M \setminus \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(43) \quad S_1 = S_2 + 2PC_r.$$

This characterization can be expressed in terms of the spray coefficients as follows: two sprays S_1 and S_2 on $T^{r-1}M$, with spray coefficients G_1^i and G_2^i , are projectively related if and only if $G_2^i(x, y) = G_1^i(x, y) + P(x, y)y^i$. For $r = 1$ this characterization of projectively related sprays is discussed in [36].

Next theorem states that projective geometry of the complete lift of a spray uniquely determines the spray.

Theorem 5.6. *If S_1 and S_2 are sprays on M then the following statements are equivalent:*

- i) S_1 and S_2 coincide, which means that S_1 and S_2 have the same geodesics as parameterized curves;
- ii) S_1^c and S_2^c are projectively related, which means that S_1 and S_2 have the same Jacobi fields up to orientation preserving reparameterizations.

Proof. Consider that S_1^c and S_2^c are projectively related. These two sprays are vector fields on $TTM \setminus \{0\}$ and their spray coefficients, according to formula (33), are $(G_1^i)^v$, $(G_1^i)^c$ and $(G_2^i)^v$, $(G_2^i)^c$, respectively. According to formula (43), it follows that there is a 1-homogeneous function $Q : TTM \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(44) \quad (G_2^i)^v(x, y, X, Y) = (G_1^i)^v(x, y, X, Y) + Q(x, y, X, Y)X^i,$$

$$(45) \quad (G_2^i)^c(x, y, X, Y) = (G_1^i)^c(x, y, X, Y) + Q(x, y, X, Y)Y^i.$$

Now, equation (44) implies that $Q(x, y, X, Y)$ depends only on (x, X) . Hence Q does not depend on variables y and Y and therefore $P = Q \circ \mathbb{C}_1 \in C^\infty(TM \setminus \{0\})$ is a 1-homogeneous function such that $Q = P^v$. Now equation (44) implies $G_2^i(x, y) = G_1^i(x, y) + P(x, y)y^i$, and equation (45) implies that $(Py^i)^c = P^vY^i$. Equation (19) and $(y^i)^c = Y^i$ implies that $P^c = 0$. Therefore P is locally constant on $TM \setminus \{0\}$, and by 1-homogeneity $P = 0$. $\square$

5.3. Discussion of results. We discuss now the results of Theorem 5.6 in two contexts: affine and Finslerian.

In the book [38], Yano and Ishihara pointed out that the complete lift does not preserve the projective class of affine connections. If we apply Theorem 5.6 to the case of affine sprays, we obtain the following result. Two affine connections on a manifold M coincide if and only if they have the same Jacobi fields up to orientation preserving reparameterizations.

Let F_1 and F_2 be two Finsler functions, which means that their Lagrangian functions $L_1 = F_1^2$ and $L_2 = F_2^2$ are 2-homogeneous regular Lagrangians that are smooth only on $TM \setminus \{0\}$, [8, 36]. Let S_1 and S_2 be the corresponding geodesic sprays of the two Finsler functions. According to Theorem 5.6, we have that $S_1 = S_2$ if and only if the two Finsler functions have the same Jacobi fields up to orientation preserving reparameterizations, which is equivalent to the fact that L_1^c and L_2^c are projectively related.

6. LIE SYMMETRIES AND CONSTANTS OF MOTION FOR JACOBI EQUATIONS

We have seen that the system of Jacobi equations (30) can be derived from the system of geodesic equations (29) using the complete lift. In this section we study how Lie symmetries and constant of motions for a system of SODE can be lifted to Lie symmetries and constant of motions for the corresponding system of Jacobi equations.

Definition 6.1. Consider a semispray S on $T^{r-1}M$ for some $r \geq 1$.

- i) A function $f \in C^\infty(T^r M)$ is a *constant of motion* for S if $S(f) = 0$.
- ii) A vector field $A \in \mathfrak{X}(T^{r-1}M)$ is a *Lie symmetry* for S if $[S, A^c] = 0$.

For $r = 1$, we refer to [15, 24] for constants of motions and Lie symmetries for geodesic sprays and Euler-Lagrange vector fields and to [8] for constants of motions and Lie symmetries for semisprays.

Proposition 6.2. Consider a semispray S on M .

- i) If $f \in C^\infty(TM)$ is a constant of motion for the semispray S , then its vertical and complete lifts $f^v, f^c \in C^\infty(TTM)$ are constants of motion for the semispray S^c .
- ii) If $A \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is a Lie symmetry for the semispray S , then its vertical and complete lifts $A^v, A^c \in \mathfrak{X}(TM)$ are Lie symmetries for the semispray S^c .
- iii) Let ψ be the flow of a Lie symmetry $A \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Then its complete lift ψ^c preserves the Jacobi fields of S .

Proof. Using properties (22), for $r = 1$, we have that $S^c(f^c) = (S(f))^c$ and $S^c(f^v) = (S(f))^v$. Hence, if $S(f) = 0$ then $S^c(f^c) = 0$ and $S^c(f^v) = 0$. Similarly, using properties (20), for $r = 1$, we have that $[S, A] = 0$ implies $[S^c, A^c] = 0$ and $[S^c, A^v] = 0$.

For the last part, consider a Lie symmetry $A \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ of a semispray S on M , which means that $[S, A^c] = 0$. Let ϕ_t the geodesic flow and ψ_s the flow of the vector field A . Then A is a Lie symmetry if and only if $\phi_t \circ \psi_s = \psi_s \circ \phi_t$, which means that the flow of A preserves the solution curves of the system of geodesic equations (29), [24]. Using either Proposition 6.2 ii) or Theorem 3.6 it follows that the complete lift ψ^c , which is the flow of A^c , preserves the Jacobi fields of the given system of SODE. $\square$

Although the proof of Proposition 6.2 is very simple, it generalizes results in various contexts: Lagrangian, Finslerian or semi-Riemannian.

Proposition 6.2 provides constants of motion and Lie symmetries for the system of Jacobi equations (30) when we know such constants of motions and Lie symmetries for the system of geodesic equations (29). This aspect has been studied by Case in [10] and by Giachetta et al. in [20] for Hamiltonian systems.

Consider S the Euler-Lagrange vector field of a regular Lagrangian $L : TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is a semispray on M . Then, the energy function $E_L = \mathbb{C}_1(L) - L$ is a constant of motion for the semispray S . From Proposition 6.2 i) it follows that

$$(46) \quad (E_L)^c = E_{L^c} \quad \text{and} \quad (E_L)^v$$

are constants of motion for the system of Jacobi equations (30). In equation (46), $E_{L^c} = \mathbb{C}_2(L^c) - L^c$ is the energy of the lifted Lagrangian L^c introduced in Section 3.2. This aspect has been studied by Arizmendi et al. in [3] and by Núñez-Yépez and Salas-Brito in [30].

For the case of a Finsler space, the homogeneity of the Finsler function F implies that its energy is $E_{F^2} = F^2$. Therefore,

$$(47) \quad \frac{\partial F^2}{\partial x^i} \left(x, \frac{dx}{dt} \right) y^i + \frac{\partial F^2}{\partial y^i} \left(x, \frac{dx}{dt} \right) \frac{dy^i}{dt} \quad \text{and} \quad F^2 \left(x, \frac{dx}{dt} \right)$$

are constants along the Jacobi field $J(t) = (x^i(t), y^i(t))$ of the Finsler space.

The fact that expressions (46) and (47) are constants along the Jacobi fields generalizes known results from Riemannian geometry, see [11, 35]. For a semi-Riemannian metric g consider the Lagrangian $L(x, y) = g_{ij}(x)y^i y^j$. Accordingly, from expressions (47) we obtain that

$$(48) \quad g\left(\nabla y, \frac{dx}{dt}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad L\left(x, \frac{dx}{dt}\right)$$

are constants along the Jacobi field $J(t) = (x^i(t), y^i(t))$ of the semi-Riemannian metric g . In expression (48), ∇y denotes the covariant derivative of y with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of the semi-Riemannian metric g .

Acknowledgements. I.B. has been supported by grant ID 398 from the Romanian Ministry of Education. M.D. has been supported by Academy of Finland Center of Excellence Programme 213476, the Institute of Mathematics at the Helsinki University of Technology, and Tekes project MASIT03 – Inverse Problems and Reliability of Models.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abraham, R., Marsden, J.E.: *Foundations of Mechanics*, Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company, 1978.
- [2] Ambrose, W., Palais, R.S., Singer, I.M.: *Sprays*. An. Acad. Brasil. **32** (1960), 163–178.
- [3] Arizmendi, C.M., Delgado, J., Núñez-Yépez, H.N., Salas-Brito, A.L.: *Conserved quantities in the variational equations*, Revista Mexicana de Física, **49** (4) (2003), 298–302.
- [4] Arnaudon, M., Thalmaier, A.: *Complete lifts of connections and stochastic Jacobi fields*, J. Math. Pures Appl., **3** (9) (1998), 283–315.
- [5] Bao, D., Chern, S.-S., Shen, Z.: *An introduction to Riemann-Finsler geometry*, Springer, 2000.
- [6] Besse, A.L.: *Manifolds all of whose geodesics are closed*, Spinger, 1978.
- [7] Bucataru, I., Dahl, M.F.: *A geometric structure without conjugate points*, preprint, 2008.
- [8] Bucataru, I., Miron, R.: *Finsler-Lagrange geometry. Applications to dynamical systems*, Romanian Academy, 2007.
- [9] Cartan, E.: *Observations sur le mémoire précédent*, Math. Zeitschrift, **37**, (1933), 619–622.
- [10] Case, K.M.: *Constants of motion and the variational equations*, Physical Review Letters, **55** (5) (1985), 445–448.
- [11] do Carmo, M. P.: *Riemannian geometry*, Birkhäuser Boston, 1992.
- [12] Casciaro, B., Francaviglia, M., Tapia, V.: *On the variational characterization of generalized equations*, in Differential Geometry and Applications, Proceedings Conf., Aug. 28 - Sept. 1, 1995, Brno, J. Janiška et al. Eds., MU, Brno (1996), 353–372.
- [13] Casciaro, B., Francaviglia, M.: *A new variational characterization of Jacobi fields along geodesics*, Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata, **172** (1)(1997), 219–228.
- [14] Chern, S.S.: *Sur la géométrie d'un système d'équations différentielles du second ordre*, Bull. Sci. Math., **63**, (1939), 206–212.
- [15] Crampin, M., Pirani, F.A.E.: *Applicable differential geometry*, Cambridge University Press, 1986.
- [16] Crampin, M., Saunders, D.J.: *Projective connections*, J. Geom. Phys. **57** (2007), 691–727.
- [17] Delgado, J., Núñez-Yépez, H.N., Salas-Brito, A.L.: *On the Lagrangian form of the variational equations of Lagrangian dynamical systems*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, **20** (2004), 925–935.
- [18] Douglas, J.: *The general geometry of paths*, Ann. of Math., **29** (1927), 143–168.
- [19] Fisher, R.J., Laquer, H.T.: *Second order tangent vectors in Riemannian geometry*, Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society, **36** (5) (1999), 959–1008.
- [20] Giachetta, G., Mangiarotti, G., Sardanashvily, G.: *Jacobi fields of completely integrable Hamiltonian systems*, Physics Letters A, **309** (2003), 382–386.
- [21] Konieczna, K., Urbański, P.: *Double vector bundles and duality*, Arch. Math. (Brno) **35** (1)(1999), 59–95.

- [22] Kolár, I., Slovák, J., Michor, P.W.: *Natural operations in differential geometry*, Springer, 1993.
- [23] Kosambi, D.D.: *Parallelism and path-space*, Math. Zeitschrift, **37** (1933), 608–618.
- [24] Krupková, O.: *The geometry of ordinary variational equations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1678. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [25] Jerie, M., Prince, G. E.: *Jacobi fields and linear connections for arbitrary second-order ODEs*, J. Geom. Phys. **43** (4) (2002), 351–370.
- [26] Lewis, A.D.: *The geometry of maximum principle for affine connection control systems*, preprint, (2000), <http://hdl.handle.net/1974/46>, 1–52.
- [27] Matveev, V. S.: *Closed manifolds admitting metrics with the same geodesics*, SPT 2004—Symmetry and perturbation theory, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, (2005), 198–208.
- [28] Michor, P.W.: *The Jacobi Flow. Geometrical structures for physical theories, II*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Pol. Torino, **54** (4) (1996), 365–372.
- [29] Michor, P.W.: *Topics in Differential Geometry*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 93, American Mathematical Society, 2008.
- [30] Núñez-Yépez, H.N., Salas-Brito, A.L.: *Jacobi equations using a variational principle*, Physics Letters A, **275** (2000), 218–222.
- [31] Oniciuc, C.: *Pseudo-Riemannian metric on tangent bundle and harmonic problems*, The Bulletin of the Belgian Mathematical Society - Simon Stevin, **7** (3) (2000), 443–454.
- [32] Paternain, G.P.: *Geodesic Flows*, Birkhäuser, 1999.
- [33] Pradines, J.: *Représentation des jets non holonomes par des morphismes vectoriels doubles soudés*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A **278** (1974), 1523–1526.
- [34] Plebański, J.F., Przanowski, M., Turrubiates, F.J.: *Induced symplectic connections on the phase space*, Acta Physica Polonica B, **32** (1) (2001), 1–15.
- [35] Sakai, T.: *Riemannian Geometry*, American Mathematical Society, 1992.
- [36] Shen, Z.: *Differential geometry of spray and Finsler spaces*, Springer, 2001.
- [37] Szilasi, J.: *A setting for spray and Finsler geometry*, Handbook of Finsler geometry. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, Vol. 2, 2003, 1183–1426.
- [38] Yano, K., Ishihara, S.: *Tangent and cotangent bundles*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., 1973.
- [39] Yano, K., Kobayashi, S.: *Prolongations of tensor fields and connections to tangent bundles, I. General theory*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **18** (1966), 194–210.

IOAN BUCATARU, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, AL.I.CUZA UNIVERSITY, B-DUL CAROL 11, IASI, 700506, ROMANIA

URL: <http://www.math.uaic.ro/~bucataru/>

MATIAS F. DAHL, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, P.O.Box 1100, 02015 HELSINKI UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, FINLAND